

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF SOMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/754,465	01/04/2001		William J. Gray	10655.9900	3628
7590 03/21/2005			EXAMINER		
Howard I. Sol	pelman		HEWITT II, CALVIN L		
Snell & Wilme One Arizona C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
400 E. Van Bu			3621		
Phoenix, AZ	85004-2202			D. T. L. L. L. D. 00 10 1000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
V	09/754,465	GRAY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	nuary 2005.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,39 and 40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						
C. Detect and Tradement Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/754,465

Art Unit: 3621

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1, 3-5, 39 and 40 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 5 is directed to a wallet server receiving a request that includes transaction data from a smart card. However, the Specification merely recites a user using a smart card to authenticate a transaction. The smart card of the Applicant's system does not send transaction data (Specification, page 10, lines 1-5 and 10-31; page 11, lines 10-32) (Note, it is the computer that sends the data not the smart card).

لريا

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linehan, U.S. Patent No. 6,327, 578.

As per claims 1-5, 39 and 40, Linehan teaches a method for facilitating a transaction comprising:

- receiving a request at a wallet server, from a user for payment authorization, said authorization directed to a financial institution receiving (column/line 5/61-6/4)
- receiving signature data, by a wallet server, from a transaction instrument (e.g. merchant computer) wherein said transaction instrument includes signature data configured to authenticate that said transaction instrument was physically interfaced with said wallet server, wherein the authenticating is performed by obtaining verification of authenticity of said signature from a security server (column 6, lines 8-13)

Art Unit: 3621

Į

- wallet server receiving authorization of said request (column 6, lines 1-35)
- wallet server associating authentication data with at least one
 "form" (column 6, lines 36-43) and providing said form to a
 merchant server to facilitate merchant using said form to obtain
 authorization from a security server (column 6, lines 36-43 and 47-62)
- wherein said request includes purchase information, charge information and transaction identifying information (column/line 5/57-6/15)
- authorizing transaction data by said wallet server to obtain authentication (e.g. authentication token, reference number) from a security server (column 6, lines 20-32)
- receiving a request at a wallet server and at a digital wallet
 (column/line 2/65-3/3) (e.g. payment request) (column/line 5/50-6/3)
- wherein the transaction instrument (e.g. merchant computer) is a computer or electronic device with a circuit board with built in logic or firmware that gives the computer, electronic device, or circuit some kind of decision making ability (i.e. smart card) (column 5, lines 50-58)

Regarding a coincidence between the security server from which the wallet server receives authentication data and the security server that receives a "form" from a merchant. Linehan teaches a security server such as a bank (figure 2A, items 208 and 212), therefore, if in the Linehan model, the merchant and the user share the same bank or financial institution, the last limitation of claim 1 is satisfied.

Page 5

Regarding "forms", in order to settle a dispute a merchant can produce a copy of the token that contains data such as payment amount, order description, time stamp, a random nonce, merchant ID, and customer account reference number. Further, the token is completed, and transmitted to a merchant by the wallet server and the merchant server, in turn, transmits the token to a security server (column 6, lines 20-37). Hence, the token of Linehan satisfies the conditions of a "form" according to Applicant's Disclosure (Specification, page 10, lines 17-28).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3621

Ì

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

3Q8-**1**(113.

Calvin Love Hewitt

March 7, 2005